# RNA-seq Quality Control 

## Before the analysis begins

## stefania.giacomello@scilifelab.se asa.bjorklund@scilifelab.se
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## Overview

- What can affect your data?
- FastQC - read based QC
- RSeQC - mapping based QC
- PCA
- Preventive measurements: spike-in controls, experimental design

UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

## RNA-seq libraries



What could go wrong?
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## What could go wrong?

- RNA quality:
- Degradation
- Contaminations (pathogens or other sources)
- GC-bias
- Nuclear vs organelle reads
- Library prep:
- Failed reactions
- RNA / Adapter ratios - primer dimers
- Clonal duplicates
- Chimeric reads
- Contaminations
- Sequencing:
- Base calling errors
- Uncalled bases
- Low quality bases (3' end)
- Contaminations
- Sequence complexity
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## From samples to reads

- may not be what you think they are
- Mixing samples
- Experiments go wrong
- How do we understand what went wrong?
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## BIOINFORMATICS!
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## RNA-seq analysis workflow
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## SciLifeLab

## Read QC <br> - FastQC

reads.fastq.gz
Reads

## Mapping statistics



## Reference

## Mapping QC <br> - RseQC

mappedReads.bam
Mapped reads

Gene annotation: ref.bed / ref.gtf
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## Fastq - read file format



Paired end data usually in format sampleX_1.fastq and sampleX_2.fastq with same SEQ_ID for both mate pairs, followed by /1 and / 2 (or _f and _r)

## Fastq - read file format

```
    SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS
    XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
    IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
    ЈJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJ
    LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL
    !"#$$&'()*+,-./0123456789:; ; <>?@ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ[\]^_`abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz{|}~
    0.........................................40
```



```
        0. . . . . . .9. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . }4
        3.....9.................................. . . . }4
    0.2........................................... . 41
S - Sanger Phred+33, raw reads typically (0, 40)
X - Solexa Solexa+64, raw reads typically (-5, 40)
I - Illumina 1.3+ Phred+64, raw reads typically (0, 40)
J - Illumina 1.5+ Phred+64, raw reads typically (3, 40)
    with 0=unused, 1=unused, 2=Read Segment Quality Control Indicator (bold)
    (Note: See discussion above).
L - Illumina 1.8+ Phred+33, raw reads typically (0, 41)
```
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## Basic read metrics with FastQC

A program that analyses some of the basic metrics on fastq raw read files.

- Quality
- Length
- Sequence bias
- GC content
- Repeated sequences
- Adapter contamination

```
                                    Code
$ module load bioinfo-tools
$ module load FastQC/0.11.2
$ fastqc -o outdir seqfile.fastq
# multiple files:
$ fastqc -o outdir seqfile_*.fastq
```

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
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## FastQC report

## RFastQC Report

## Summary

Basic StatisticsPer base sequence qualityPer tile sequence quality
Per sequence quality scores
(1) Per base sequence content
(D) Per sequence GC contentPer base $N$ contentSequence Length Distribution
(1) Sequence Duplication LevelsOverrepresented sequencesAdapter ContentKmer Content

$\underset{\substack{\text { Roral instituTE } \\ \text { of TECHNOLOGY }}}{ }$

Basic Statistics

| Measure | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Filename | bad_sequence.txt |
| File type | Conventional base calls |
| Encoding | Illumina 1.5 |
| Total Sequences | 395288 |
| Sequences flagged as poor quality | 0 |
| Sequence length | 40 |
| \%GC | 47 |

(2) Per base sequence quality


## Per base sequence quality

Quality scores across all bases (Illumina 1.5 encoding)


Quality scores across all bases (Illumina 1.5 encoding)
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## SciLifeLab

## Per tile sequence quality (Illumina)



Quality per tile
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## Per sequence quality scores
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## Per base sequence content

Sequence content across all bases



## SciLifeLab

## Per sequence GC content
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## Sequence Duplication Levels
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## Overrepresented sequences

| Sequence | Count | Percentage | Possible Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCATGACGCAGAAGTTAACACTTTC | 2065 | 0.5224039181558763 | No Hit |
| GATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCATG | 2047 | 0.5178502762542754 | No Hit |
| ATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCATGA | 2014 | 0.5095019327680071 | No Hit |
| CGATAAAAATGATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTAT | 1913 | 0.4839509420979134 | No Hit |
| GTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCATGACGCAGA | 1879 | 0.47534961850600066 | No Hit |
| AAAAATGATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCT | 1846 | 0.4670012750197325 | No Hit |
| TGATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCAT | 1841 | 0.46573637449150995 | No Hit |
| AACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCATGACGCAGAAGTTAA | 1836 | 0.46447147396328753 | No Hit |
| GATAAAAATGATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATC | 1831 | 0.4632065734350651 | No Hit |
| AAATGATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTC | 1779 | 0.45005160794155147 | No Hit |
| ATGATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCA | 1779 | 0.45005160794155147 | No Hit |
| AATGATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCC | 1760 | 0.4452449859343061 | No Hit |
| AAAATGATTGGCGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTT | 1729 | 0.4374026026593269 | No Hit |
| CGTATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCATGACGCAG | 1713 | 0.43335492096901496 | No Hit |
| ATCCAACCTGCAGAGTTTTATCGCTTCCATGACGCAGAAG | 1708 | 0.43209002044079253 | No Hit |
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## Adapter Content
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## Kmer content
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## Failed FastQC - what to do?

- Try to figure out why
- If problem seem to be related to problems during sequencing - resequence!
- If problem is related to library prep - rerun if possible.
- You can filter out the low quality reads
- Adapter trimming (cutadapt)
- Filter low phred score reads (samtools, jaccard)
- If you have enough reads after filtering the data may still be useful.
- But be careful to do equal trimming on all samples!




## Mapping logs - mapping efficiency

- Program specific how the output will be (STAR, Bowtie, BWA, Tophat...)
- Always gives:
- \% uniquely mapping - ideally around $90 \%$ for 100 bp reads
- \% multi-mapping - will depend on read length
- \% unmapped - could indicate contaminations, adaptors
- Also statistics on:
- Mismatches / indels
- Splice junctions


## Bad mapping - what to do?

- First step - try to figure out why it failed. With the use of FastQC/RseQC/Mapping logs.
- Perhaps also look for contaminant species
- Redo library prep controlling for possible errors
- Low mapping, but not completely failed.
- Figure out why!
- Is it equal for all samples?
- Could it introduce any bias in the data?


## Mapping QC <br> - RseQC

reads.fastq.gz
STAR
Reads

Mapping

Reference
genome.fa
mappedReads.bam
Mapped reads

Gene annotation:
ref.bed / ref.gtf

## Gene expression
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## SAM/BAM file formats

- All mapped reads with location in genome, mapping information etc.
- SAM (Sequence Alignment/Map) format alignment.sam
- BAM is a compressed sam format - alignment.bam
- A bam-file (always) needs to be indexed and sorted alignment.bam.bai
- Samtools - a simple program for converting between bam/sam, indexing, sorting, filtering, etc.


Code
\$ module load bioinfo-tools
\$ module load samtools

## SAM/BAM file format



More details on:
http://samtools.github.io/hts-specs/SAMv1.pdf
http://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/SAM

## After mapping - RseQC package

- General sequence QC:
- sequence quality
- nucleotide composition bias
- PCR bias and
- GC bias
- RNA-seq specific QC:


## Code

\$ module load bioinfo-tools
\$ module load rseqc/2.4
\$ geneBody_coverage.py -r ref.bed12 -i mappedReads.bam -o genecoverage

- evaluate sequencing saturation
- mapped reads distribution
- coverage uniformity
- strand specificity
- Etc..
- Some tools for file manipulations
http://rseqc.sourceforge.net/


## Soft clipping - clipping_profile.py

clipping profile
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## Gene coverage geneBody_coverage.py

## Not degraded



## Degraded



## Distance between PE-reads - <br> inner_distance.py

Mean=60;SD=52
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## Where in the genome do your reads map? - read_distribution.py

| Group | Total_bases | Tag_count | Tags/Kb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CDS_Exons | 33302033 | 20002271 | 600.63 |
| 5'UTR_Exons | 21717577 | 4408991 | 203.01 |
| 3'UTR_Exons | 15347845 | 3643326 | 237.38 |
| Introns | 1132597354 | 6325392 | 5.58 |
| TSS_up_1kb | 17957047 | 215331 | 11.99 |
| TSS_up_5kb | 81621382 | 392296 | 4.81 |
| TSS_up_10kb | 149730983 | 769231 | 5.14 |
| TES_down_1kb | 18298543 | 266161 | 14.55 |
| TES_down_5kb | 78900674 | 729997 | 9.25 |
| TES_down_10kb | 140361190 | 896882 | 6.39 |

## Known and novel splice junctions junction_saturation.py or junction_annotation.py



## Gene detection subsampling - RPKM_saturation.py How deep do you need to sequence?



Q3


Q2


Q4
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## Bad RseQC output - what to do?

- Try to figure out what went wrong.
- Redo library prep controlling for possible errors
- Is it equal for all samples?
- Could it introduce any bias in the data?
- RNA-degradation in some samples
- Possible to use a region at $3^{\prime}$ end for expression estimates.

SciLifeLab

## MultiQC - summary of QC stats





## Sample swaps and outliers can be identified using PCA



## Differences in read distribution

 between samples can be identified using Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

## QC test case 1



Samples from three different species

1. C.rubella

- Small flowers
- Normal leaves
- Genome is sequenced

2. C. grandiflora

- Large flowers
- Normal leaves

3. Hybrid

- Intermediate flowers
- Normal leaves


## Principal component 1 separates samples from flowers and leaves
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## Principal component 2 and 3 separates the different species
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## QC test case 2

- 4 Tissues
- Fat body
- Gut
- Labial gland
- Malphighian tubules
- 3 Phylogenetic groups
- >70 samples
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## PCA analysis detected potential sample swaps
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## QC test case 3

- PCA detects clear batch effect
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a MD Anderson Dataset (Cross Hybridization Time)







## My PCA looks strange - what to do?

- Clear sample swaps
- Check sequence indices, lab logs etc. to verify new classification.
- If you have enough replicates, remove instead of changing labels if you are uncertain.
- Clear batch effects
- Can use batch normalization to remove the effect
- Outliers
- Figure out why they are outliers
- Do not remove samples only because they do not fit your expectation - Bad science!
- PCA does not group my sample sets
- Try different methods of dimensionality reduction / clustering
- Perhaps technical/biological variation is higher than your expected effect -> Batch normalization


## Sources of variation

- Biological variation
- Patient to patient variation
- Sex
- Time points of samples taken
- Etc.....
- Technical variation
- At each step of RNA extraction and library preparation


## Spike-in control RNA

- Addition of external RNA molecules into the samples before library prep
- Will give estimate of technical variation:
- Sensitivity / detection
- Accuracy
- Specific biases
- Also used to estimate amount of RNA in the samples
- Most commonly ERCC - pool of 48 or 96 synthetic mRNAs with various lengths and GC content, at 17 different concentrations
- Allows for cross comparison of datasets


## Read Count vs. ERCC Concentration

- Sample 1
- Sample 2
 UNIVERSITET


## SciLifeLab

## Technical noise / Biological variation



## Replicates, replicates, replicates

- Technical replicates
- Biological replicates
- If you have enough material, always do extra replicates in case you want to remove low quality samples.
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## Experimental Design

Balanced Blocked Design
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Statistical Design and Analysis of RNA Sequencing Data Paul L. Auer and R. W. Doerge ${ }^{1}$
Department of Slatistics, Purdue Universily, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907
Manuscript received January 31, 2010 Manuscript received January 31, 2010

## Conclusions

- Good quality data is the first step in any RNA-seq experiment
- The reason for low quality samples may require some detective work
- More replicates allows you to filter out low quality libraries without losing statistical power
- Depending on where you sequence, some of the QC steps will be performed at the platform.


## Questions?
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